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Comments:

* Good effort overall. However, based on the fact the first version of the document was accepted and only feedback as to add the internal users to the ET-Entitlements it stands to reason that the RTM was deemed correct. So ET-Entitlements should be consistent with RTM.
* There are user roles that do not require the use of the Registration feature but are shown as if they do. It is similar for some other user roles.
* Really good work just a few minor inconsistencies. Please look at the RTM if a user role belonging to a department and that department does not use a feature at any point in the RTM it stands to reason that a user role belonging to that department can not use the feature in the ET-Entitlements.
  + example: In the RTM the Finance management department does not have an “x” for any of the functionalities it has under the “**01.01 Register User**” feature so how can a user role from that department have an “x” under the same feature in the ET-Entitlements